

Software Engineering – Architectures, Designs, and Code

L00 on Coding

Focus on the strategy pattern in Java

Giancarlo Succi

Dipartimento di Informatica – Scienza e Ingegneria

Università di Bologna

`g.succi@unibo.it`

Location of the material:

<https://github.com/GiancarloSucci/UniBo.SE.A2023>



Structure of the Part on Coding

- Part 0:
 - Revision of the strategy pattern in Java (and, a very little bit, on C++)
- Part 1:
 - Introduction on Python as a self-proclaimed multiparadigm language
- Part 2:
 - Patterns in Python with an eye on ChatGPT and around



Premise

- Here we will reflect on the concepts we saw on architecture and design looking at the code
- We will focus on a concrete example, which could be used also in the overall course
- We will see many examples of code
- Also very detailed, step-by-step examples
- Our focus will be on the software engineering side, though
- That is in understanding why and how people achieved what they achieved
 - not on hacking solutions



Motivation of this section

- Here we reflect substantially on how the strategy pattern is achieved in Java
- This is at the core of polymorphism via overriding and late binding
- Indeed, this is also because we do not use pointers to functions



Let's look at the reference

```
testScope
| t |
t := 42.
self testBlock: [Transcript show: printString]

testBlock: aBlock
| t |
t := nil.
aBlock value
```

- This chunk of SmallTalk code goes well beyond simple pointers to function, and it is the ultimate goal of the strategy pattern
- Evaluating testScope returns 42 ... why?



Classes in inner scopes

- In Java and in C++ it's possible to place a class definition within another class definition
- In C++ this is just related to visibility and naming
- In Java the matter is deeper; we can distinguish between
 - **nested classes**, which are just put inside other classes for naming/packaging concerns
 - **inner classes**, whose objects depend on the existence of object of the nesting class
 - **local classes**, that are declared locally within a block of Java code, rather than as a member of a class



Nested classes

- It is possible to place a class definition within another class definition
 - This is called a **nested class**
- Nested classes allow to hide the existence of a class from the outside world
- Moreover, they allow to group classes that logically belong together and to control the visibility of one within the other
- The name of a nested class is local to its enclosing class
- The nested class is in the scope of its enclosing class
- Declarations in a nested class can use only static members from the enclosing class



Inner classes

- Java takes this approach further, defining so called **inner classes**
- In Java simply declaring a class inside the body of another one, i.e., without any further qualification, results in an inner class
- In Java to declare a nested class we need to add the keyword **static** in front of its declaration



Examples of nested and inner classes in Java

```
public class X {  
    int instanceVar; // Belongs to an instance of class X  
    static int staticVar; // Belongs to class X  
    private class Inner {  
        int y;  
        Inner() { y = instanceVar + staticVar; }  
    }  
    private static class Nested {  
        int x;  
        Nested() {  
            x = staticVar;  
            // x += instanceVar; Error!  
        }  
    }  
}
```



Examples of nested classes in C++

```
class X {  
    public:  
    int instanceVar; // Belongs to an instance of class X  
    static int staticVar; // Belongs to class X  
  
    class Nested {  
        int x;  
        Nested() : x(staticVar) {  
            // instanceVar++; Error!  
        }  
    };  
};
```



Comments on inner classes

- Each instance has an enclosing instance, and can use its members
- Inner classes cannot have static members
- Inner classes cannot have the same name as the enclosing class
- If an inner class is a local class (see later), it has access to the members of the enclosing class, final local variables and parameters
- Inner classes can also be anonymous classes (see later), i.e., unnamed classes defined within an expression
 - They are similar to local classes but can have only one instance



Local classes

- Both Java and C++ allows definition of a class inside the body of a function
- This is called a local class
- Local classes are very useful when we need code and data structures to perform specific tasks inside a function, but we don't want to make them available to the outside world
- Therefore local classes are a mean to enforce stricter encapsulation and data hiding at function scope level
- The name of a local class is local to its enclosing scope
- The local class is in the scope of the enclosing scope, and has the same access to names outside the function as does the enclosing function
- In Java, local classes are indeed inner classes, and not simply nested classes



Interfaces

- An interface declaration introduces a new reference type whose members are classes, interfaces, constants, and methods
- This type has no instance variables, and typically declares one or more abstract methods; otherwise unrelated classes can implement the interface by providing implementations for its abstract methods
- Interfaces cannot be instantiated – they can only be implemented by classes or extended by other interfaces
- Interfaces contain only constants, method signatures, default methods, static methods, and nested types
- Programs can use interfaces to make it unnecessary for related classes to share a common abstract superclass or to add methods to Object



Interface Declaration

- In its most common form, an interface is a group of related methods with empty bodies.
- Interfaces have the same access specifiers as classes
- Interfaces support single and multiple inheritance, since the absence of instance data eliminates the ambiguities of, say, C++

```
public interface Bicycle {  
    void changeCadence(int newValue);  
    void changeGear(int newValue);  
    void speedUp(int increment);  
    void applyBrakes(int decrement);  
}
```

Source of these and the following slides:
<https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/concepts/interface.html>



Interface Declaration

- Default methods are defined with **default**, and static methods with the **static**.
- All abstract, default, and static methods are implicitly public
- An interface can contain constant declarations. All constants are implicitly public, static, and final

```
public interface GroupedInterface extends Interface1,
    Interface2, Interface3 {
    // constant declarations
    // base of natural logarithms
    double E = 2.718282;
    // method signatures
    void doSomething (int i, double x);
    int doSomethingElse(String s);
}
```



Interface Implementation

```
class BianchiBicycle implements Bicycle {
    int cadence = 0, speed = 0, gear = 1;
    // The compiler will now require that methods
    // changeCadence, changeGear, speedUp, and
    // applyBrakes all be implemented. The compilation will
    // fail if those methods are missing from this class.
    void changeCadence(int newValue) {
        cadence = newValue;
    }
    //...
    void printStates() {
        System.out.println("cadence:" +
            cadence + " speed:" + speed + " gear:" + gear);
    }
}
```




Methods in Interfaces

- Default methods and abstract methods in interfaces are inherited like instance methods.
- However, when the supertypes of a class or interface provide multiple default methods with the same signature, the Java compiler follows inheritance rules to resolve the name conflict.
- These rules are driven by the following two principles:
 - Instance methods are preferred over interface default methods
 - Methods that are already overridden by other candidates are ignored. This circumstance can arise when supertypes share a common ancestor



Instance vs. default interface methods (1/2)

Instance methods are preferred over interface default methods

```
public class Horse {  
    public String identifyMyself() { return "I am a horse.";}  
}  
  
public interface Flyer {  
    default public String identifyMyself() {  
        return "I am able to fly."; }  
}  
  
public interface Mythical {  
    default public String identifyMyself() {  
        return "I am a mythical creature."; }  
}
```



Instance vs. default interface methods (2/2)

```
public class Pegasus extends Horse implements Flyer,
    Mythical {
    public static void main(String... args) {
        Pegasus myApp = new Pegasus();
        System.out.println(myApp.identifyMyself());
    }
}
```

The method `Pegasus.identifyMyself()` returns the string “I am a horse”



Overriding default methods (1/8)

Methods that are already overridden by other candidates are ignored

```
public interface Animal {  
    default public String identifyMyself() {  
        return "I am an animal."; }  
}  
  
public interface EggLayer extends Animal {  
    default public String identifyMyself() {  
        return "I am able to lay eggs."; }  
}  
  
public interface FireBreather extends Animal { }
```



Overriding default methods (2/8)

```
public class Dragon implements EggLayer, FireBreather {  
  
    public static void main (String... args) {  
        Dragon myApp = new Dragon();  
        System.out.println(myApp.identifyMyself());  
    }  
  
}
```

The method `Dragon.identifyMyself()` returns the string “I am able to lay eggs”



Overriding default methods (3/8)

- If two or more independently defined default methods conflict, or a default method conflicts with an abstract method, then the Java compiler produces a compiler error. You must explicitly override the supertype methods.
- Consider the example about computer-controlled cars that can now fly. You have two interfaces (`OperateCar` and `FlyCar`) that provide default implementations for the same method:



Overriding default methods (4/8)

```
public interface OperateCar {  
    // ...  
    default public int startEngine(EncryptedKey key) {  
        // Implementation  
    }  
}  
  
public interface FlyCar {  
    // ...  
    default public int startEngine(EncryptedKey key) {  
        // Implementation  
    }  
}
```



Overriding default methods (5/8)

A class that implements both `OperateCar` and `FlyCar` must override the method `startEngine()`. You could invoke any of the of the default implementations with the `super` keyword.

```
public class FlyingCar implements OperateCar, FlyCar {  
  
    // ...  
  
    public int startEngine(EncryptedKey key) {  
        FlyCar.super.startEngine(key);  
        OperateCar.super.startEngine(key);  
    }  
  
}
```




Overriding default methods (6/8)

- The name preceding super (in this example, FlyCar or OperateCar) must refer to a direct superinterface that defines or inherits a default for the invoked method
- This form of method invocation is not restricted to differentiating between multiple implemented interfaces that contain default methods with the same signature
- You can use the super keyword to invoke a default method in both classes and interfaces



Overriding default methods (7/8)

Inherited instance methods from classes can override abstract interface methods. Consider the following interfaces and classes:

```
public interface Mammal {  
    String identifyMyself();  
}  
  
public class Horse {  
    public String identifyMyself() {  
        return "I am a horse.";  
    }  
}
```



Overriding default methods (8/8)

```
public class Mustang extends Horse implements Mammal {  
  
    public static void main(String... args) {  
        Mustang myApp = new Mustang();  
        System.out.println(myApp.identifyMyself());  
    }  
}
```

- The method Mustang.identifyMyself() returns the string “I am a horse”
- The class Mustang inherits the method identifyMyself() from the class Horse, which overrides the abstract method of the same name in the interface Mammal



Summary on Default Methods

| | Superclass Instance Method | Superclass Static Method |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Subclass Instance Method | Overrides | Generates a compile-time error |
| Subclass Static Method | Generates a compile-time error | Hides |



Why defaults and static?

- Static methods are for general behaviours of the interface and cannot allow access to non-static data nor overriding
- Default methods can be overridden and they are a powerful mechanism to allow evolution of interfaces, supporting the non-mandatory implementation of all methods inside classes

Sources: <https://softwareengineering.stackexchange.com/questions/233053/why-were-default-and-static-methods-added-to-interfaces-in-java-8-when-we-alread>



Example from Oracle (1/3)

- Suppose you have

```
public interface DoIt {  
    void doSomething(int i, double x);  
    int doSomethingElse(String s);  
}
```

Sources: <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/IandI/nogrow.html>



Example from Oracle (2/3)

- Then you want it to evolve into:

```
public interface DoIt {  
    void doSomething(int i, double x);  
    int doSomethingElse(String s);  
    boolean didItWork(int i, double x, String s);  
}
```

- The code of the old implementations would now break, since it does not have the method `didItWork`

Sources: <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/IandI/nogrow.html>



Example from Oracle (3/3)

- But with default everything is fixed:

```
public interface DoIt {  
    void doSomething(int i, double x);  
    int doSomethingElse(String s);  
    default boolean didItWork(int i, double x, String s) {  
        // Method body  
    }  
}
```

Sources: <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/IandI/nogrow.html>



Anonymous Classes

- Anonymous classes enable you to make your code more concise
- You can declare and instantiate a class at the same time
- Like local classes except that they do not have a name
- Common usage pattern: when you need to use a local class only once
- The class is defined inside another expression



Listeners And Anonymous Classes

- An event listener is used to process events. For example, a graphical component like a `JButton` or `JTextField` are known as event sources. This means they can generate events - when a user clicks on the `JButton` or types text into the `TextField`. The event listeners job is to catch those events and do something with them.
- Event listeners are actually different types of interfaces. There are many types: the `ActionListener`, `ContainerListener`, `TextListener`, `WindowListener` to name a few.



Listeners and Anonymous Classes (1/2)

- Each interface defines one or more methods that must be implemented by a class in order for the event to be processed.
- However there is a nice flexibility about event listeners in that more than one graphical component can be associated with the same event listener. It means if you have a similar set of components that are basically doing the same thing their events can all be handled by one event listener.
- For example, a `JButton` needs an object of a class that implements an `ActionListener` to process its button. If there are several buttons that do similar tasks when they are clicked then they can all be assigned the same `ActionListener`.



Listeners and Anonymous Classes (2/2)

- When you want to listen a button click you have to have a class that implements the `ActionListener` interface, a simple interface with only one method:

```
public interface ActionListener extends  
    EventListener{  
    public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent e);  
}
```

- To implement the `ActionListener` interface the class needs to have a method `actionPerformed()`. This method is called when a button click event occurs
- The `ActionEvent` object called `e` holds information about the event. For example, you can find out which button was clicked by looking at the method `e.getActionCommand()` method



Motivation for anonymous classes (1/3)

Let's say we want to build a simple calculator (class `SimpleCalc`). First, we need to create a GUI, containing our buttons and a text field to provide the information to the users:

```
public SimpleCalc() {  
    guiFrame=new JFrame();  
    numberCalc=new JTextField();  
    buttonPanel = new JPanel();  
    //Make a Grid that has three rows and four columns  
    buttonPanel.setLayout(new GridLayout(4,3));  
    guiFrame.add(buttonPanel,BorderLayout.CENTER);  
    for (int i=1;i<10;i++) { //Add the number buttons  
        addButton(buttonPanel, String.valueOf(i));  
    }  
}
```

Source for this and the following slides: <https://www.bitspedia.com/2012/12/simple-calculator.html>



Motivation for anonymous classes (2/3)

```
    JButton addButton = new JButton("+"); //Add the operation
        buttons
    addButton.setActionCommand("+");
    buttonPanel.add(addButton);
    buttonPanel.add(subButton);
    buttonPanel.add>equalsButton);
    guiFrame.setVisible(true);
}

private void addButton(Container parent, String name) {
    JButton but = new JButton(name);
    but.setActionCommand(name);
    parent.add(but);
}
```



Motivation for anonymous classes (3/3)

- With SimpleCalc we implement the `ActionListener` interface in three different ways to show the different options you have for listening for events:
 - inside the containing class
 - as an **inner class**
 - as an **anonymous inner class**



Implementation inside the containing class (1/3)

- This approach can be handy shortcut if you have several graphical components that all act in exactly the same way when they are clicked
- In this case the numbered buttons 1 to 9 will all act in the same way.
 - When any of them are clicked the number they represent will be placed in the `TextField`
- We implement the interface inside `SimpleCalc`, which means that `SimpleCalc` class also needs to implement the method `actionPerformed()`



Implementation inside the containing class (2/3)

```
public class SimpleCalc implements ActionListener{
// All the buttons are doing the same thing.
// It's easier to make the class implementing the
// ActionListener controlling the clicks from one place
@Override
public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent event) {
    //get the Action Command text from the button
    String action = event.getActionCommand();
    //set the text using the Action Command text
    numberCalc.setText(action);
    // Do the job
}
```



Implementation inside the containing class (3/3)

- For this to work we also need to add the `ActionListener` to each button. As the numbered buttons are created using `addButton()` we just need to add in an extra line:

```
private void addButton(Container parent, String
    name) {
    JButton button = new JButton(name);
    button.setActionCommand(name);
    button.addActionListener(this);
    parent.add(button);
}
```

- `addActionListener` gets as parameter the class implementing `ActionListener` with the `this`.



Implementation with an inner class (1/4)

- We could implement `ActionListener` in a separate class but such class needs only to handle the event of a click of the button.
- Therefore, we could use a class inside `SimpleCalc`.
- This also has the advantage of allowing the inner class access to the components defined within the outer class.
- When the arithmetic operation buttons (+ and -) are clicked, the operations to perform are very similar.
- The only difference is whether numbers are going to be added or subtracted.
- This makes it ideal for both buttons to use an inner class implementing `ActionListener`.



Implementation with an inner class (2/4)

```
public class SimpleCalc{  
    private class OperatorAction implements ActionListener {  
        public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent event) {  
        }  
    }  
}
```

- `OperatorAction` is the inner class and its main duty is to set the `currentCalc` and `calcOperation` of `SimpleCalc`.
- `currentCalc` holds the number in the `JTextField` and the `calcOperation` the `int` representing whether it is a sum (i.e., 1) or a subtraction (i.e., 2).
- And this is used then by the button `=`.



Implementation with an inner class (3/4)

```
private class OperatorAction implements ActionListener{
    private int operator;
    public OperatorAction(int operator) {
        this.operator = operator;
    }
    public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent event) {
        currentCalc = Integer.parseInt(numberCalc.getText());
        calcOperation = operator;
    }
}
```



Implementation with an inner class (4/4)

```
JButton additionButton = new JButton("+");  
additionButton.setActionCommand("+");  
  
OperatorAction additionAction = new OperatorAction(1);  
additionButton.addActionListener(additionAction);  
  
JButton subtractionButton = new JButton("-");  
subtractionButton.setActionCommand("-");  
  
OperatorAction subtractionAction = new OperatorAction(2);  
subtractionButton.addActionListener(subtractionAction);
```



Implementation with an anonymous class (1/4)

- Often, every button triggers a different behaviour
- It is still possible to have a class associated to each of such behaviours,
 - It is enough that each one implements the `ActionListener` interface
- However, such approach triggers a proliferation of “standard” classes, making the code not readable
- We can then use anonymous classes
- With anonymous classes:
 - the code becomes simpler
 - the behaviour associated with a button is placed right where such button is instantiated



Implementation with an anonymous class (2/4)

- A click on the equals triggers
 - the execution of the arithmetic operation and
 - the display of the result in the `JTextField`.
- It is the perfect candidate for an anonymous (inner) class

```
JButton equalsButton = new JButton("=");  
equalsButton.addActionListener(new ActionListener() {  
    @Override  
    public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent event) {  
        // Do something  
    }  
});
```




Implementation with an anonymous class (3/4)

- Instead of writing a separate class implementing the `ActionListener` interface, an anonymous class is defined right there.
- We **perceive** the new anonymous class as a piece of code created on the fly for the `ActionListener` interface implementing the `actionPerformed` method
 - We get the impression that a piece of code could be the parameter of the call of the method `addActionListener`
 - But it is not so, it is a fully fledged (**anonymous**) class that is created, and an object of it is then instantiated and passed as a parameter



Implementation with an anonymous class (4/4)

```
equalsButton.addActionListener(new ActionListener() {  
    @Override  
    public void actionPerformed(ActionEvent event) {  
        if (!numberCalc.getText().isEmpty()) {  
            int number = Integer.parseInt(numberCalc.getText());  
            if (calcOperation == 1) {  
                ... // perform addition  
            } else if (calcOperation == 2) {  
                ... // perform subtraction  
            }  
        }  
    }  
});
```



Questions?

End of the lecture on the strategy pattern in
Java.